

Strategy Evaluation Checklist

Criterion	Status	Notes
Believable Core Logic	✓	Explains the structural pricing inefficiency of Each-Way terms in horse racing (arbitrary fixed \$1/4\$ or \$1/5\$ fractional place terms vs. true joint place probabilities).
Sustainable Market Principles	⚠	The underlying mathematical edge of Each-Way (+EV) / Extra Place value betting is 100% genuine; however, soft bookmakers aggressively restrict/gub accounts exploiting these terms.
Mathematically Sound (+EV / Arbitrage)	✓	Quantitatively accurate: explains how fractional place rules overprice place components, and demonstrates the dual execution models (unhedged +EV vs. matched "Full Lay" extra-place arbing).
Robustness Against Hype / Scam Tactics	✓	Zero touting, fake win promises, or secret indicators. Features a transparent small-sample disclaimer acknowledging variance and the statistical insignificance of a 5-bet test.
Sound Risk Management & Variance Context	✓	Clearly illustrates the two risk profiles: high variance unhedged Each-Way value (swings from dry runs) vs. minimal qualifying loss "Full Lay" extra-place matched betting.

Practical Market Execution		Accurately shows live scanner software (<i>Outplayed Each-Way Matcher</i>), but underplays real-world execution friction: Rule 4 deductions, dead-heats, and rapid bookmaker stake-factoring.
-----------------------------------	---	---

BS Factor Rating: 90 / 100

(100 = Sound, mathematically robust, and achievable; 0 = Totally unbelievable, unsustainable, and guaranteed to fail)

Summary & Score Justification

This video by **Caan Berry Pro Trader** (*THIS Betting Strategy Actually Works*) is an **educational, mathematically sound breakdown of Each-Way Value Betting and Extra Place Matched Betting**.

Key Breakdown of the Strategy:

1. The Structural Inefficiency of Each-Way Terms:

- Bookmakers price the "win" market dynamically using odds modeling, but price the "place" market using archaic, static fractions (e.g. \$1/4\$ or \$1/5\$ of win odds).
- In specific race structures (e.g., races with dominant, short-priced favorites), this fixed fraction heavily overprices the true mathematical probability of mid-to-long-shot horses finishing in the frame (2nd, 3rd, 4th), creating genuine positive expected value (+EV) on the place part of the bet.

2. Two Distinct Execution Profiles:

- Unhedged Each-Way Value (+EV Betting):** Placing level-stakes Each-Way bets on selections where the combined Win + Place Expected Value exceeds \$100\%\$ (e.g., \$120\%\$ EV). High variance, but statistically positive expectation over thousands of bets.
- Full Lay Extra Place Matched Betting:** Backing the horse Each-Way at the sportsbook (e.g. paying 4 places) and laying both the Win market and the standard Place market (3 places) on a betting exchange. This incurs a tiny, strictly capped qualifying loss (e.g. £1.23 to £3.96) for the chance of a large payout if the horse finishes in the exact "extra place" window (4th).

3. High Transparency on Variance:

- Despite finishing with a profit across his 5 sample bets, Caan Berry explicitly warns viewers that a 5-bet sample is statistically meaningless and that long-term results are governed by math and sample size rather than short-term lucky streaks.

Deductions (-10 pts):

- **Account Restriction (Gubbing) Friction:** Each-Way sniper betting and Extra Place exploitation are the fastest ways to trigger automated bookmaker restrictions (*gubbing* and stake-factoring). While the math is completely sound, the commercial lifespan of a soft bookmaker account executing this strategy daily is very short.
- **Operational Market Hazards:** The video does not explain late non-runner Rule 4 deductions or field reductions (e.g., a 16-runner handicap dropping to 15 runners, changing place terms from 4 places to 3 places), which can turn a +EV position into -EV.